

Unit-V

Sec:29 Local compactness

Definition:

A space X is said to be locally compact at x if there is some compact subspace C of X that contains a neighbourhood of x . If X is locally compact at each of its point, then X is said to be locally compact.

Theorem: 29.1

Let X be a space. Then X is locally compact Hausdorff space iff there exist a space Y satisfying the following conditions.

- (i) X is a subspace of Y
- (ii) The set $Y-X$ consist of a single point
- (iii) Y is a compact Hausdorff space

If Y and Y' are two space satisfying these conditions, then there is a homeomorphism of Y with Y' that equals the identity map on X .

Proof:Step:1

First we prove the uniqueness

Let Y and Y' be two spaces satisfying these conditions.

Define $h: Y \rightarrow Y'$ by letting h maps the single point p of $Y-X$ to the point q of $Y'-X$ and letting h equal the identity on X .

We have to show that if U is open in Y then $h(U)$ is open in Y' .

This implies that h is that h is the homeomorphism.

Case(i)

Suppose p doesn't belongs to U

Then $h(U) = U$ [since h is a identity map]

Since U is open in Y and it contained in X, U is open in X

Also since X is open in Y' we have U is open in Y' . Hence $h(U)$ is open in Y'

Case(ii)

Suppose $p \in U$

Let $C = Y - \bar{U}$. Then C is closed in Y

Since Y is compact, we have C is a compact subspace of Y .

Since C is contained in X , It is a compact subspace of X . Also since X is a subspace of Y' , the space c is also a compact subspace of Y'

$\therefore C$ is closed in Y'

$\therefore Y' - C$ is open in Y'

$\therefore h(\bar{U})$ is open in Y'

Step: 2

Suppose X is locally compact Hausdorff space. Take some object that is not a point of X denote it by the symbol ∞

$$\text{Let } Y = X \cup \{\infty\}$$

Define a collection of open set of Y to consist of type(i) all sets U that are open in X and type(ii) all sets of the form $Y - C$, where C is a compact subspace of X ----- ①

We shall show that the collection ① is a topology

The empty set is the set of type(i) and space Y is the set of type (ii)

Now, Checking that the intersection of two open sets in open involves 3 cases.

Case(i) $U_1 \cap U_2$ is of type (i)

Case (ii) $(Y - C_1) \cap (Y - C_2) = Y - (C_1 \cap C_2)$ is of type (ii)

Case (iii) $U_1 \cap (Y - C_1) = U_1 \cap (X - C_1)$ is of type (i) because of C_1 is closed in X

Now, We check the union of any collection of open sets is open.

(i) $\bigcup \alpha = U$ is open in X and is of type (i)

(ii) $\bigcup (Y - C_\beta) = Y - \bigcap C_\beta = Y - C$ is of type (ii)

(iii) $(\bigcup \alpha) \cup (\bigcup (Y - C_\beta)) = \bigcup (Y - C) = Y - (\bigcap C)$ is of type(ii)

Since $C - \bar{U}$ is a closed subspace of C , we have $C - \bar{U}$ is compact

Hence ① is a topology on Y

Next, we have to show that X is a subspace of Y , we show its intersection with X is open in X .

If U is of type(i), then $\bar{U} \cap X = \bar{U}$

If $Y-C$ is of type (ii), then $(Y-C) \cap X = X-C$

In both cases the sets are open in X .

Conversely,

Any set open in X is a set of type (i) and therefore open in Y

$\therefore X$ is a subspace of Y .

Now, we show that Y is compact

Let \mathcal{A} be an open covering of Y

The collection \mathcal{A} must contain an open set of type (ii) say $Y-C$, Since none of the open sets of type (i) contain the point ∞

Take all the members of \mathcal{A} different from $Y-C$ and intersects them with X , they form a collection of open sets of X covering C .

Since C is compact, finitely many of them cover C

The corresponding finite collection of elements of \mathcal{A} along with the elements $Y-C$, cover all of Y

Hence Y is compact

Next, we show that Y is Hausdorff

Let x, y be two points of Y

If both of them lie in X , there are disjoint sets U and V open in X containing x and y respectively. Since X is Hausdorff

On the otherhand, If $x \in X, y = \infty$

We can choose a compact set C in X containing a neighbourhood U of x .

Then U and $Y-C$ are disjoint neighbourhood of x and ∞

Hence Y is Hausdorff.

Step:3

Now, we prove the converse

suppose a space Y satisfying the condition (i),(ii),(iii) exists

Since Y is Hausdorff, X is Hausdorff

Let $x \in X$

We have show that X is locally compact at x

Choose disjoint open sets U and V of Y containing x and the single point of $Y-X$ respectively.

Then the set $C = Y-V$ is closed in Y , so it a compact subspace of Y .

Since C lies in X , it is also a compact subspace of X .

Also C contains the neighbourhood U of x .

Hence X is locally compact

$\therefore X$ is locally compact Hausdroff.

Definition:

If Y is compact Hausdorff space and X is a proper subspace of Y whose closure equals Y , then Y is said to be compactification of X . If $Y - X$ equals a single point, then Y is called the one point compactification of X .

Theorem 29.2

Let X be a Hausdorff space. Then X is locally compact iff given x in X and given a neighbourhood U of x , there is a neighbourhood V of x such that \bar{V} is compact and $\bar{V} \subset U$

Proof:

Assume that given $x \in X$ and given neighbourhood U of x there is a neighbourhood V of x such that \bar{V} is compact and $\bar{V} \subset U$.

Since $x \in V \subset \bar{V}$, the set $C = \bar{V}$ is the required compact subspace of X containing a neighbourhood V of x .

Hence X is locally compact.

Conversely,

Assume that X is locally compact.

Let $x \in X$.

Let U be a neighbourhood of x .

Take the one point compactification Y of X .

Let $C = Y - U$.

Then C is closed in Y .

Hence C is compact subspace of Y (Since Y is compact Hausdorff space)

Choose disjoint open sets V and W containing x and c respectively. Then the closure \bar{V} of V in Y is compact.

Also, \bar{V} is disjoint from C .

We have $\bar{V} \cap C^c = U$

Thus, $\bar{V} \subset U$

Corollary 29.3

Let X be locally compact Hausdorff and let A be a subspace of X . A is closed or open in X . Then A is locally compact.

Proof:

Suppose A is closed in X .

To prove A is locally compact.

Let $x \in A$.

Let C be a compact subspace of X containing the neighbourhood U of x in X . (Since X is locally compact)

Then $C \cap A$ contains the neighbourhood $U \cap A$ of x in A .

Hence A is locally compact.

Section 28 Limit Point Compactness

Definition:

A space X is said to be limit point compact if every infinite subset of X has a limit point.

Theorem 28.1 Compactness implies limit point compactness, but not conversely.

Proof:

Let X be a compact space. Let A be a subset of X . We have to prove that if A is infinite, then A has a limit point. We prove this by the contrapositive method i.e) If A has no limit point, then A must be finite.

Suppose A has no limit point. Then A contains all its limit points, so that A is closed. For each $a \in A$, we can choose a neighbourhood U_a of a such that U_a intersects A in the point a alone. The space X is covered by the open set $X - A$ and the open sets U_a . Since X is compact, it can be covered by finitely many of these sets. Since $X - A$ does not intersect A and each set U_a contains only one point of A .

Hence the set A must be finite.

Definition:

Let X be a topological space. If (x_n) is a sequence of points of X and if $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_i < \dots$ is an increasing sequence of positive integers, then the sequence (y_i) defined by setting $y_i = x_{n_i}$ is called a subsequence of the sequence (x_n) . The space X is said to be sequentially compact if every sequence of points of X has a convergent subsequence.

Theorem 28.2

Let X be a metrizable space. Then the following conditions are equivalent (i) X is compact (ii) X is limit point compact (iii) X is sequentially compact

Proof:

(i) \rightarrow (ii)

Let X be a compact space. Let A be a subset of X . We have to prove that if A is infinite, then A has a limit point. We prove this by the contrapositive method i.e) If A has no limit point, then A must be finite.

Suppose A has no limit point. Then A contains all its limit points, so that A is closed. For each $a \in A$, we can choose a neighbourhood U_a of a such that U_a intersects A in the point a alone. The space X is covered by the open set $X - A$ and the open sets U_a . Since X is compact, it can be covered by finitely many of these sets. Since $X - A$ does not intersect A and each set U_a contains only one point of A .

Hence the set A must be finite.

(ii) \rightarrow (iii)

Assume X is limit point compact. To prove X is sequentially compact. Let sequence (x_n) be a point of X . Consider the set $A = \{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$

Case (i): Suppose A is finite

Then there is a point x such that $x = x_n$ for infinitely many values of n . In this case, the sequence (x_n) has a subsequence that is constant and converges obviously.

Case (ii): Suppose A is infinite

Then A has a limit point of x . We define a subsequence of sequence (x_n) converging to x as follows:

First choose n_1 , so that $x_{n_1} \in B(x, 1)$. Then choose n_2 , so that $x_{n_2} \in B(x, \frac{1}{2})$, and so on.

Then choose n_i , so that $x_{n_i} \in B(x, \frac{1}{i})$, and so on.

Then the subsequence $(x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, \dots, x_{n_i}, \dots) \rightarrow x$

Thus X is sequentially compact.

(iii) \rightarrow (i)

Assume that X is sequentially compact.

To prove X is compact.

If X is sequentially compact, then the Lebesgue number lemma holds for X . Let A be an open covering of X . We assume that there is no $\delta > 0$ such that each set of diameter less than δ has an element of A containing it, and derive a contradiction. (Since, Let A be an open covering of the metric space (X, d) . If X is compact, then there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for each subset of X having diameter less than δ , there exists a element of A containing it. The number δ is called a Lebesgue number for the covering A)

Our assumption implies in particular that for each positive integer n , there is a set of diameter less than $\frac{1}{n}$ that is not contained in any element of A .

Let C_n be such a set. Choose a point $x_n \in C_n$, for each n . By hypothesis, some subsequence (x_{n_i}) of the sequence (x_n) converges to the point 'a' (say).

Now, 'a' belongs to some element A of the collection A , because A is open, we may choose an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B(a, \varepsilon)$ is contained in A . If i is large enough that $\frac{1}{n_i} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, then the set C_{n_i} lies in the $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ neighbourhood of x_{n_i} . If i is also chosen large enough that $d(x_{n_i}, a) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, then $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ lies in the ε neighbourhood of 'a'.

But this means that C_{n_i} is contained in A , contrary to hypothesis.

We show that if X is sequentially compact, then given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a finite covering of X by open ε balls.

Once again we proceed by contradiction. Assume that there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that X cannot be covered by finitely many ε balls. Construct a sequence of points x_n of X as follows; First choose x_1 to be any point of X . Nothing that the ball $B(x_1, \varepsilon)$ is not all of X . (Otherwise X would be covered by a single ε ball); Choose x_2 to be a point of X not in $B(x_1, \varepsilon)$; and so on.

In general, given x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , choose x_{n+1} to be a point not in the union $B(x_1, \varepsilon) \cup B(x_2, \varepsilon) \cup \dots \cup B(x_n, \varepsilon)$, using the fact that these balls do not cover X . Note that by construction $d(x_{n+1}, x_i) > \varepsilon$ for $i=1$ to n . Thus the sequence (x_n) has no convergent subsequence, in fact, any ball of radius $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ that contains x_n contains at most one value of n .

Finally, we show that if X is sequentially compact, then X is compact.

Let A be an open covering of X . Since X is sequentially compact, the open covering A has a Lebesgue number δ . Let $\varepsilon = \frac{\delta}{3}$. Since X is sequentially compact, to find a finite covering of X by open ε balls. Each of these balls has diameter at most $\frac{2\delta}{3}$, so it lies in an element of A . Choosing one such element of A for each of these ε balls, we obtain a finite subcollection of A that covers X . Hence X is compact.